

**REMARKS**

The Office Action dated March 15, 2005, has been received and carefully noted. The above amendments to the claims, and the following remarks, are submitted as a full and complete response thereto.

Claims 1-17 are pending in the present application, and are respectfully submitted for consideration.

Claims 1, 2, 4-10 and 12-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2004/0174199 (Simon). Further, claims 3 and 11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Simon. In making this obviousness rejection, the Office Action took the position that Simon taught all the elements of claims 1, 2, 4-10 and 12-17, and that it would have been obvious to use a third order filter for Simon's low pass filter to teach all the elements of claims 3 and 8. Applicant respectfully traverses the anticipation and obviousness rejections, and respectfully submits that the cited reference fails to disclose or suggest all the features of any of the presently pending claims.

Claim 1, upon which claims 2-8 are dependent, recites a method including receiving an input current. The method also includes mirroring the input current. The method also includes converting the received input current to a voltage. The method also includes filtering the voltage and converting the filtered voltage into an output current using the mirrored input current.

Claim 9, upon which claims 9-16 are dependent, recites a system including a current mirror that mirrors an input current. The system also includes a first MOSFET capable of converting the received input current to a voltage. The system also includes a filter, communicatively coupled to the first MOSFET, capable of filtering the voltage. The system also includes a second MOSFET, communicatively coupled to the filter and the current mirror, capable of converting the filtered voltage into an output current using the mirrored input current.

Claim 17 recites a system including means for receiving an input current. The system also includes means for mirroring the input current. The system also includes means for converting the received input current to a voltage. The system also includes means for filtering the voltage. The system also includes means for converting the filtered voltage into an output current using the mirrored input current.

As discussed in the specification, examples of the present invention enable generation of an IF output signal amplitude that is substantially less sensitive to process, voltage and temperature than conventional IF output signals. Thus, a new transmitter IF section architecture is provided that experiences less process, voltage and temperature variations. For example, clocking glitches, any quantization noise present, frequency components beyond the cutoff frequency and the like may be filtered out of a signal. Applicant respectfully submits that the cited reference of Simon fails to disclose or suggest all the features of any of the presently pending claims. Therefore, Simon fails to provide the critical and unobvious advantages discussed above.

Simon relates to a multiplier circuit for the multiplication of two input signals. Referring to Figure 3 of Simon, a multiplier circuit with voltage/current conversion is shown using operational amplifiers for voltage/current conversion and the rest of the circuit with MOS field-effect transistors. In current mirror branches 11 to 14 an RC element, such as a low pass filter, is interposed between input current mirror transistors 11 and 13, and output current mirror transistors 12 and 14, with a series resistor 28 and a capacitance 30 connected downstream of resistor 28 with respect to reference potential terminal 17. A common load terminal node of transistors 28, 30, 27 and 29 on the reference potential side is connected via respective current mirrors 32, 33, 34 and 35 to a respective terminal for feeding in reference currents 36 and 37. The further load terminals of the operational amplifier input transistors 28, 30, 29 and 31 on the supply potential side are connected to one another and to a supply voltage terminal 25. Additional low pass filters 29 and 30 in the current mirrors 11, 12, 13 and 14 lead to a further improvement of the noise properties of the multiplier circuit.

Applicant submits that Simon fails to disclose or suggest all the features of the presently pending claims. For example, applicant submits that Simon fails to disclose or suggest converting the filtered voltage into an output current using the mirrored input current. The Office Action refers to Figure 3 of Simon in stating that the reference teaches “converting the filtered voltage into an output current (by 12) using the mirrored input current.” Office Action, page 2, lines 12-13. Referring to Simon, current mirror 12 is designated as an output transistor that includes a low pass filter. Simon fails to

disclose or suggest that output current mirror 12, or any of the current mirrors within the multiplier circuit of Figure 3, converts filtered voltage into an output current using a mirrored input current. Applicant submits that the output current mirrors of Simon fail to disclose using a mirrored current in converting a filtered voltage into the output current.

In contrast, claim 1 recites “converting the filtered voltage into an output current using the mirrored input current.” Claim 9 recites “a second MOSFET, communicatively coupled to the filter and the current mirror, capable of converting the filtered voltage into an output current using the mirrored input current.” Claim 17 recites “means for converting the filtered voltage into an output current using the mirrored input current.” Applicant respectfully submits that Simon fails to disclose or suggest at least these features of the presently pending claims, as discussed above.

With regard to the dependent claims, applicant submits that they include the patentable features of the independent claims, and also recite additional patentable features. Thus, applicant respectfully submits that Simon fails to disclose or suggest all the features of claims 1-17. Applicant respectfully requests that the anticipation and the obviousness rejections be withdrawn.


Applicant further submits that each of claims 1-17 recite subject matter that is neither disclosed nor suggested by the cited reference. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that all of claims 1-17 be allowed, and this application passed to issue.

If for any reason the Examiner determines that the application is not now in condition for allowance, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner contact, by

telephone, the applicants' undersigned attorney at the indicated telephone number to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this application.

In the event this paper is not being timely filed, the applicant respectfully petitions for an appropriate extension of time. Any fees for such an extension together with any additional fees may be charged to Counsel's Deposit Account 50-2222.

Respectfully submitted,



---

William F. Nixon  
Registration No. 44,262

**Customer No. 32294**  
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY LLP  
14<sup>TH</sup> Floor  
8000 Towers Crescent Drive  
Tysons Corner, Virginia 22182-2700  
Telephone: 703-720-7800  
Fax: 703-720-7802

WFN: noe/cct